

MOTION NO. 4938

A MOTION relating to the priorities of the King County Council for the 1981 Budget.

WHEREAS, the King County Executive will present to the King County Council in October, 1980 his proposed operating and capital budget for 1981, and

WHEREAS, the Executive proposed budget will be developed during the third quarter 1980, and

WHEREAS, the King County Council is the policy making body of King County, and

WHEREAS, the Council has considered and wishes to transmit to the Executive for his consideration in developing his proposed 1981 budget a series of statements regarding priorities to be reflected therein.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

The County Executive is requested to reflect the following priorities in his proposed 1981 budget for the county and to report to the County Council upon delivery of his proposed 1981 budget the specific responses to the Council's priorities:

1. Resources should be supplied and identified in the Planning Division for the development of energy efficient land use policies conducive to the reduction of commuting distances between place of residence and place of employment.

2. Resources should be supplied and identified in the Planning Division for preparation of a road capacity study to be used as a guide in siting residential development in the county.

3. The necessary data should be gathered upon which to make a recommendation for establishing a precinct substation on the eastside and its consideration should be reflected in the proposed 1981 budget.

4. A Study of the Law, Safety and Justice System and the future space requirements of the County.

5. In the interest of the consumer, the B.A.L.D. permit

1 issuing offices should be continued in the North and South
2 suburban communities and a study made of the need for an additional
3 Eastside office.

4 6. Adequate background should be provided for the Council to
5 consider the development of countywide water supply policies. This
6 background should include preparation of a paper in consultation
7 with Council staff on the scope of problems related to water supply
8 in King County. The paper should include but not be limited to the
9 role of the County with the water purveyors and the Boundary Review
10 Board, problems which need to be addressed at the County level,
11 relationship of water supply to land use planning, relationship of
12 water supply to fireflow needs, etc. The Utilities Coordinator and
13 the Utilities Technical Review Committee will review and make
14 recommendations for Council consideration of the Seattle Comprehen-
15 sive Regional Water Complan and the Tacoma Pipeline No. 5 proposal
16 in the near future. Issues identified in this review related to
17 regional water purveying that are not addressed as part of County
18 consideration of these plans should be submitted as part of the
19 background paper. This paper with recommendations should be sub-
20 mitted by early spring 1981.

21 7. Provide the resources necessary to support the prepara-
22 tion of the General Development Guide and its implementing measures.
23 This includes the resources necessary to support the Land Develop-
24 ment Information System (LDIS). It is important to improve the
25 ability of the County to carry out the policies of the General
26 Development Guide and to provide for the timely approval of develop-
27 ment permit activities.

28 PASSED this 23rd day of June, 1980.

29 KING COUNTY COUNCIL
30 KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

31 Bill Reams
32 Chairman

33 ATTEST:

Gerald A. Peterson
ACTING Clerk of the Council

1981 BUDGET PRIORITIES MOTION1. Countywide Non-motorized Vehicles Route Map

Produce a countywide map identifying the various transportation and recreational corridors for nonmotorized transportation, including but not limited to bicycle, roller skates, equestrian, pedestrian and water related travel.

(Background: Numerous citizens have requested a map that is functional, informational, easy to use and comprehensive in nature. This map could be a major item of a public relations program, informing citizens of King County's nonmotorized route and facilities.)

It would show transfer points, such as parking lots and bus stops between motorized vehicles and nonmotorized facilities. The map would be best produced in close coordination with the Planning Division, the Park's Division, the Public Works and Transportation Department and each city/town to insure recognition of all unique and roadway improvements for nonmotorized traffic.)

2. Kenmore-Bothell Bikeway

Funds and project commitments for constructing the permanent trail needs to be allocated to complete Phases 2 and 3. Phase 1, SR 522 shoulder improvements and cleaning have been completed by the State DOT.

(Background: Recent counts and a survey of the Burke-Gilman and Sammamish River Trails have indicated large current use of these two trails and high support for linking the two trails.)